

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPI, ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,844	01/03/2001	Stephan Meyers	4925-55 3228		
7590 05/24/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael C. Stuart, Esq.			VU, THANH T		
Cohen, Pontani,	, Lieberman & Pavane				
Suite 1210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
551 Fifth Avenue			2174	£12 m	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No	Applicant(s)	1			
		09/753,84		MEYERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	<u></u>	Art Unit				
		Thanh T.	/u	2174				
The MAILING	DATE of this communication a				ress			
Period for Reply								
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specif - If NO period for reply is specifications - Failure to reply within the school and reply received by the Compa	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REF OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CFR in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a r icified above, the maximum statutory perion et or extended period for reply will, by state office later than three months after the material. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the state od will apply and wi lute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on							
2a)☐ This action is F	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
, 	-							
closed in accor	dance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					•			
_	<i>l and 15</i> is/are pending in the	annlication						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,14 and 15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)								
8) Claim(s))☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specificatio	n is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
	filed on is/are: a) a		objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119							
	~	an priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies o	f the certified copies of the pi	riority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National S	tage			
application	on from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
					٠			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Control of Draitspersors Falent Drawing Review (F10-945) 5) Other:								

Art Unit: 2174

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. ("Lee", U.S. Pat. No. 5,748,789).

Per claim 1, Lee teaches a system for providing discretionary viewing control in displaying data, comprising:

a display for displaying data, the display comprising a plurality of pixels (figs. 2A and 2B; col. 7, lines 1-10) and

an integrated circuit in connection with said display for processing said data (figs. 2A and 2B; col. 6, lines 29-41; col. 7, lines 1-10),

said data including at least first and second portions of data that are linked together, the first portion including payload data (col. 7, lines 1-15) and the second portion including metadata (col. 8, lines 19-30),

said payload data providing content to each pixel of the plurality of pixels at the display independently (col. 7, lines 1-15) and said metadata has a value selected from a predefined set of values and identifies each pixel at the display independently (col. 8, lines 19-39);

whereby the processable pixels at the display are classified according to a particular metadata value selected from the predefined set of values (col. 8, lines 19-39).

Art Unit: 2174

Per claim 2, Lee teaches the system claim 1, wherein the integrated circuit comprises a filter for one of blocking and obscuring the content of each of the plurality of pixels that has a metadata value that exceeds a discretionary threshold value without preventing the display of the content of the plurality of pixels that does not have a metadata value that exceeds the discretionary threshold value (col. 3, lines 40-55; col. 9, lines 15-33; col. 10, lines 16).

Claims 3-4 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 1-2 respectively.

Claim 14, is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Per claim 15, Lee teaches the data frame of claim 14, wherein the content comprises multiple channels of content (col. 7, lines 47-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. ("Lee", U.S. Pat. No. 5,748,789) in view of Reilly (U.S. Pat. No. 6,580,422).

Per claim 5, Lee teaches the method of claim 3, but does not teach wherein the display is a display on a wireless terminal, and the step of supplying data to the display comprises supplying said data to the display on the wireless terminal. However, Reilly teaches the display is a display on a wireless terminal, and the step of supplying data to the display comprises

Art Unit: 2174

supplying said data to the display on the wireless terminal (col. 2, lines 1-10 and lines 23-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the wireless computer as taught by Reilly in the invention of Lee in order to provide users with transfer of display information to a remote computer through a wireless data link.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Krishan et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,442,529) discloses method and apparatus for delivering targeted information and advertising over the internet.

Hoyle (U.S. Pat. No. 6,628,3214) discloses computer interface method and apparatus with targeted advertising.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (703)-308-9119. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2174

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T. Vu 05/13/04

Stistine Vincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100